

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE ENVIRONMENTAL & TECHNICAL SERVICES DIVISION 525 NE Oregon Street PORTLAND, OREGON 97232-2737 503/230-5400 FAX 503/230-5435

May 8, 1996

F/NWO3

TO:

F/PR Rollie Schmitten

Will Stelle F/NW

F/SW Hilda Diaz Soltero F/PR2 Patricia Montanio

F/HP2 Tom Bigford F/NWC Usha Varanasi F/SW03 -Jim Lecky

Patrick Rutten

FROM:

F/NW03 -

Elizabeth Gaar Elizabeth

SUBJECT: ManTech Report

Enclosed are Parts I, II and III of a draft report Ecosystem Approach to Salmonid Conservation, Part II, May 1996, contracted by the National Marine Fisheries Service, Fish and Wildlife Service and EPA (agencies) with ManTech consultants. On top of the package is a letter from Curt Smitch (FWS), Anita Frankel (EPA and myself on September 7, 1994, that summarizes the agencies' intent with this contract. Parts I and III have had a small but esteemed (significant overlap with National Research Council panel) technical peer review. These parts are very technical and I recommend that policy people simply flip through them. I recommend that our biologists read them. Part II is highly relevant to our current HCP and state, regional conservation strategies. Thus, I recommend Part II for everyone's careful reading.

We have sent Part II to the same peer reviewers as parts I and III, most are in the academic community. I have also provided all three parts to the State of Oregon, with the clear understanding that they are assigning one of their scientists to do a technical peer review. Oregon also suggests a broader peer review that includes industry and environmental organizations. We intend to discuss this further next week. We are coordinating with NMFS Southwest Region staff to have a similar meeting with California and are also arranging personal meetings with the State of Washington and with the Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission.

We also need to consider what, in addition to and following technical peer review, should we do to engage public policy discussions on this paper. Task 5 in the project summary was intended to accomplish this with a series of workshops. State of Oregon likes this idea.



From here, please provide your feedback and ideas to Steve Landino (360-753-6054) or myself (503-230-5434) by May 23, 1996. On or close to that day we should meet and strategize among ourselves and with FWS and EPA counterparts. Steve and I will arrange this conference call in the near future. Thanks much.

Attachment

Patrick Rutten National Marine Fisheries Service 777 Sonoma Avenue, Room 325 Santa Rosa, CA 95404 (707) 575-6059

Jim Lecky Branch Chief NMFS Protected Species F/SW031 501 W Ocean Blvd Suite 4200 Long Beach, CA 90802 310/980-4021

Hilda Diaz-Soltero, Regional Director NMFS-F/SW 501 West Ocean Blvd., Suite 4200 Long Beach, CA 90802-4213 310/980-4001

William Stelle, Jr.
National Marine Fisheries Service
7600 Sand Point Way
Seattle, WA 98115-0070
(206)526-6150

Patricia Montanio National Marine Fisheries Service 1315 East West Hwy Rm 13142 Silver Spring, MD 20910-3282 (301)713-2332

Thomas Bigford National Marine Fisheries Service 1315 East West Hwy Rm 12716 Silver Spring, MD 20910-3282 (301)713-2325

Usha Varanasi National Marine Fisheries Service 2725 Montlake Blvd E Seattle, WA 98112-2013 (206)860-3200